

# Who Killed Sylvia Fink?

by Daniel Smith

<http://standbydaniel.com/20-who-killed-sylvia-fink-daniel-smith-s-response-to-abc-news-20-20-investigative-report-on-mms-october-2016>

It doesn't take a rocket scientist to know that mainstream media will never report the truth about MMS. But surely a rocket scientist could help the media appear more credible; after all, who doesn't believe a rocket scientist?

Perhaps that's what ABC producers were thinking when they aired their recent 20/20 "hit piece" featuring retired NASA scientist Doug Nash decrying that his wife of five years, Sylvia Fink, was killed by MMS in 2009.

But then again, was she? After more than seven years of my own silence since Sylvia's tragic death, its time for some truth-telling.

Isn't it significant that, in the past ten years, MMS has been used in over 160 countries by hundreds of thousands of people, and yet there has been only one alleged death attributed to its use?

And isn't it interesting that this death happened on a sailboat near "an isolated island ... in the far Western Pacific ... a place with no doctors present ... no significant medical facilities ... and no capability at all for dealing with the kind of toxic poisoning ... Sylvia was experiencing"? Those were Nash's words in a MedWatch report submitted to the FDA over the Internet on August 4, 2010 - a full year after Sylvia's death.

According to various newsclips published over the last few years, Nash met Sylvia in Mexico and invited her to join him on his sailboat. They were later allegedly married, though details in the media are sketchy.

According to previously non-published information, friends of Sylvia described her as a warm and loving woman who struggled with a sort of "hypochondria". Traveling abroad, particularly in tropical zones, Sylvia was especially anxious of contracting malaria. Friends report that leading up to her tragic passing, Sylvia had been self-medicating with increasing amounts of potent anti-malaria medication she and Nash acquired without prescription from Peace Corps workers.

Witnesses wrote in an affidavit that Sylvia had been complaining of not feeling well for several weeks leading up to her death; that even the night prior she had to excuse herself from a beach party, white as a ghost, having trouble speaking and breathing, as if she were not getting enough oxygen.

Witnesses attest that Sylvia was not in perfect health at the time of her death, contrary to what Nash has repeatedly told the media and authorities. Far from it. It was for this very reason she and Nash called Sylvia's friends on the radio the next morning to inquire how to mix the MMS - to see if it might help with what was mysteriously ailing Sylvia.

Sylvia's friends carefully advised the couple on how to properly use MMS, beginning with one or two drops at the very most. Only Nash was present when the MMS was mixed but ten hours would transpire between the time it was administered and the time Nash would finally call friends over the radio exclaiming something was terribly wrong with Sylvia.

By the time Sylvia's friends arrived, she was unresponsive, and they immediately began attempts to revive her. When they asked Nash how much MMS Sylvia had taken, he stumbled, unsure, commenting to the effect, "knowing Sylvia, more was always better." Nash would tell the FDA a year later, however, that Sylvia died "twelve hours after ingesting two drops of MMS mixed in lime juice - the initial dose recommended in Jim Humble's protocol." The question arises: how was Nash able to recall such a specific and important detail twelve months later, but not the same day of Sylvia's death?

Noticing numerous prescription bottles and Panadol strewn on a nearby table, Sylvia's friends asked why Nash had not been forthright when they previously asked what medications Sylvia was taking. His answer was unclear. While Sylvia's friends tried frantically to revive her, Nash stood calmly in the background taking photos. This deeply troubled Sylvia's friends who asked him to put the camera down.

Documents later revealed, after receiving the autopsy report, Nash argued with the coroners in an effort to get them to alter the report and pin Sylvia's death on MMS, which they refused to do. The most the coroner would say was that Sylvia appeared to have died from complications stemming from methemaglobanemia (met-hemaglob-anemia), meaning that oxygen was not being carried by her red blood cells, eventually leading to the shutdown of her vital organs.

But here's the clincher: excessive use of anti-malaria medication notoriously causes methemaglobanemia. It is a well-known fact; one certainly your average seafaring NASA scientist would know. It was no wonder Nash showed significant knowledge on the subject while arguing with the coroner. One news piece reveals that Nash admitted to having medical literature of this nature on board his vessel at the time of Sylvia's death.

There are a number of questions any detective worth their salt should be asking about now. For example:

Why would a well-traveled, well-informed NASA scientist, with access to medical literature, not be aware of the dangers of, but rather encourage his wife's excessive use of dangerous, non-prescribed anti-malaria medication?

When Nash began to notice his wife's health declining after taking these medications in the weeks prior - exhibiting symptoms that others readily recognized as being related to lack of oxygen - why did he not intervene?

Why was he not forthcoming with Sylvia's friends about the drugs Sylvia was taking when first asked?

Why did he wait ten hours until Sylvia had lost all ability to communicate before calling her friends for help?

Why was he calmly taking photos rather than helping Sylvia's friends revive his own wife?

How did he have enough knowledge to argue with the coroner about methemaglobanemia, but not enough to stop Sylvia's excessive, non-medically prescribed use of drugs notoriously known to cause it?

Why did he not tell the coroner that Sylvia was using these drugs, arguing instead that MMS was the culprit?

When the coroners did not side with Nash, why did Nash immediately contact local media to launch a campaign against MMS? Why was it more important to implicate MMS than take time to grieve?

Why did he tell Sylvia's friends he didn't know how much MMS she had taken (but that it might have been more than she should have) - before the autopsy - yet told the FDA a year later - after the autopsy and after the case was officially closed - that she took only two drops mixed in lime juice?

Who mixed and administered the dose of MMS on that fateful day when Sylvia's health was so obviously compromised she could barely breathe?

Why has Nash never told authorities or the media that Sylvia was self-medicating with potent anti-malaria medications at the time of her death?

Why does Nash hold to the scientifically impossible... i.e., that two drops of MMS killed his wife twelve hours later?

Is it because he knows Sylvia unwittingly used significantly more activated MMS than she believed or thought she was using - more than anyone would ever need or want to use - just as she unwittingly was using more anti-malarial medication and Panadol than anyone should ever use - especially without a prescription - quantities any scientist, with even a limited knowledge of methemaglobanemia and contraindications, should know could send anyone as compromised as Sylvia already was, into a spiraling crisis from whence she could never hope to return?

Is it because no one would be the wiser because it happened near "an isolated island ... in the far Western Pacific ... a place with no doctors present ... no significant medical facilities ... and no capability at all for dealing with the kind of toxic poisoning ... Sylvia was experiencing"?

What kind of "toxic poisoning" was Sylvia really experiencing?

It begs the question, did Sylvia Fink have a life insurance policy, and if so, who was the beneficiary?

Doug Nash has not been truthful with the world, and its time for the world to know. I would be remiss to allow Mr. Nash to continue this charade that has served only to insulate himself from further investigation, while leaving a scarlet letter on the MMS movement that I know from personal first-hand knowledge has saved countless lives. Many people in this world are suffering and Mr. Nash's omissions may be costing the lives of other Sylvias.

I would be remiss to let ABC off the hook as well. ABC reported in an accompanying article that a "'concentrate solution' of sodium chlorite - the main ingredient in MMS - was found in her system." It is scientifically impossible that sodium chlorite could have ever been found in Sylvia's system two hours, let alone two weeks, after her death when an autopsy was finally done - especially if she ingested only "two drops of MMS mixed in lime juice", which would have immediately altered the composition of the MMS leaving behind no sodium chlorite. The autopsy report does not even imply such an aberration of chemical science. These are bald-faced lies extolled by Nash and ABC, both who have their own stories to sell, and their own reasons for people to buy into them.

Perhaps the reason authorities have, so far, done nothing, is because Nash's version of the story better fits the original investigating agency's agenda (FDA)? Perhaps Nash knew this and that is why he reached out to them? But if I were a supervisor for the DOJ, I would be concerned - for the sake of public confidence in the criminal justice system - that certain agents and attorneys deliberately withheld the above information when feeding Nash's story to a grand jury.

To be fair, I cannot say with personal firsthand knowledge that Nash knowingly and intentionally played a role in Sylvia's tragic passing, but I can say, with utmost certainty, that any scientist who is also a sailor in the tropics and has knowledge sufficient to argue with a coroner about methemaglobanemia, knows full well the dangers of anti-malaria medications and that Sylvia's passing could not have been caused by two drops of MMS mixed in lime juice.

I know for certain that if my wife lie unconscious, our friends trying desperately to revive her, I would not be memorializing the tragedy with photographs as if I were more interested in the story I wanted the photos to tell than in the looming loss of my closest companion. I know for certain that I would not have withheld from authorities my wife's use of methemaglobanemia-inducing drugs leading up to her death resulting from the same - unless I had something to hide.

With that, we should leave the answer to the question of what (or who) killed Sylvia Fink to the authorities and not to mainstream media - or rocket scientists - lest we leave it to the court of public opinion as ABC and Nash have so negligently and intentionally done already.

So Why Now?

Why did I not come forward with this information sooner - in the last seven years?

First, the last thing I would ever want to do is falsely accuse an innocent and grieving husband. Over time, however, I came to see that none of Doug Nash's actions were congruent with those of a grieving husband, but rather someone actively engaged in a campaign of misdirection.

Second, I did not want to dishonor Sylvia, or Sylvia's children, who've suffered enough by having to see their mother's name and the heart-breaking details exploited by the media. After seven years, however, I have come to believe that Sylvia and Sylvia's children deserve the truth to be told.

Third, I have felt compelled to honor the privacy of those who were witnesses to these tragic events, which I can only imagine trigger painful and traumatic memories. These beautiful friends of Sylvia, whose boat was always close by, were only called on by Nash after Sylvia had lost consciousness, when it would be too late to ask her questions or call for medical help. I suspect they were used by Nash as "MMS scapegoats", witnesses to a good-faith effort to revive Sylvia, and to play a role in his photo shoot for proof. I trust they understand I mean them no harm in disclosing what I have at this time. I can only trust they were guided by the Hand of Providence to provide a copy of their affidavit for such a time as this.

Finally, I have wondered... who would listen to a convicted felon anyway? But I'm over that. And there's still a chance for reversal, in spite of ABC's best (and worst) efforts. If there is one thing this journey has taught me... that is that truth and love endures forever - and nothing else matters. Truly, nothing. The world needs the truth right now, more than ever, and if ABC and Nash won't tell it, I will.

I pray that my disclosure has been the right thing ... for Sylvia, her family, her friends, and for all the world. May you rest in peace Sylvia.

~ ~ ~ ~ ~

ABC's Disingenuous Search for "Proof"

That ABC was never looking for proof that MMS might be safe or efficacious is evident not only by its failure to vet Nash's story, but also by its gorilla interview tactics of Jim Humble and Mark Grenon. But

even moreso, it is evident by the fact that ABC willfully and negligently failed to report several material facts.

First, ABC Producer Cyndi Galli admitted in October 2015 that she had received hundreds of testimonial emails from MMS users around the world reporting that MMS had helped them with various life-threatening conditions without any harmful side effects. She acknowledged the existence of a disconnect between what actual consumers were reporting and what the government was alleging. But did ABC interview a single person who submitted their testimony? Of course not; they weren't looking for that kind of proof.

ABC producers also failed to mention that sodium chlorite (the only ingredient in MMS other than water) was granted "orphaned drug status" by drug authorities of the European Union in 2013 for the treatment of ALS - amyotrophic lateral sclerosis.

ABC producers also failed to mention that sodium chlorite, as of 2013, had already entered third-stage clinicals in the U.S. for the treatment of ALS, MS - multiple sclerosis), Parkinson's and Alzheimer's.

ABC also failed to mention the innumerable patents filed with the U.S. Patent Office pertaining to the safe and effective use of acidified sodium chlorite in the treatment of HIV - human immunodeficiency virus, cancers (including breast cancer), dermatological issues, and various infectious diseases.

David Perlmutter, M.D., recently wrote, in his book "Brain Maker" (2015) about the link between digestive microflora and various neurological conditions including Autism, MS, ALS, Alzheimer's, Parkinson's, and even Tourettes - all believed to be irreversible by "modern" medicine. In his book, however, **Dr. Perlmutter describes how various of these conditions - including Autism - have been reversed by protocols modifying digestive microflora.**

This is not unlike the work of Kerry Rivera who has reported numerous reversals of autistic symptoms with the use of **MMS which, likewise, alters the microflora.**

To be clear, Dr. Perlmutter does not discuss MMS or sodium chlorite, nor do I imagine he would risk his medical career with the hounds of media by even suggesting it. Still, Dr. Perlmutter's work does clearly show that our microbiomes play a significant role in brain chemistry and, especially, neurological diseases previously thought to be "incurable".

Rather than probe into or acknowledge such numerous examples of safety and efficacy of sodium chlorite (MMS) to inexpensively address numerous health challenges, ABC dons a disingenuous title of "investigative journalist." It is clear their purpose here is only to tow the government line.

ABC's Consumer Reporting department can be seen routinely following DOJ cases and parroting the Department without any real investigation into the veracity of its claims.

For example, one ABC interviewee posited that the use of MMS could be likened unto putting Chlorox (a century-old brand of household bleach) in your water and drinking it - as if that were completely preposterous. Notwithstanding the chemistry (i.e., oxidizing mechanism of acidified MMS, is incredibly different from that of Chlorox (sodium hypochlorite bleach containing several other chemicals), ABC and its interviewee neglect the fact that the EPA (Environmental Protection Agency), CDC (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention), and FEMA (Federal Emergency Management

Agency) have all suggested that people may use Chlorox to purify their water in a pinch. Yes... that dreadful stuff that turns your blue jeans white. <Aghast!>

ABC has egg on its face. Its abused its powerful position in the media to support a state-sponsored corporate agenda absent legitimate investigation and fair reporting. Moreover, it ignores the very "consumers" it alleges to be looking out for. ABC's brand is worthless if the best it can do is parrot a controlled conversation scripted by the state which, by the way, is the very definition of "propaganda". Perhaps this response would have been better titled: "The ABC's of Propaganda."

#### A Word About "The Six Million Dollar Men"

ABC billed myself (Daniel Smith) as a distributor of MMS who was "in it for the money", exhibiting a blurred-out eight year-old email between myself and my father; Subject: "The Six Million Dollar Men." I can only chuckle at the irony.

When I was just a boy, my Dad and I would watch a TV show together about a fictitious "bionically" enhanced superhero, Steve Austin, "The Six Million Dollar Man". My Dad was always strong as an ox; no one could beat him at arm-wrestling. Us kids affectionately called him the Six Million Dollar Man.

Later in life, when his health and strength were failing, I encouraged him as an avid researcher and past author to look into MMS... to try it... and, if he liked what he learned, and experienced, to write about it. In that email, I seized upon a 35+ year-old childhood memory to encourage him, stating that together we could be "The Six Million Dollar Men."

My Dad, Larry Smith, did try MMS, and it helped, and ten years later he is still with us. He went on to write several helpful books about MMS, published in different languages, that helped many people learn how to safely use MMS in the privacy of their own homes. No one has ever made six million dollars selling MMS that I know of but one can easily see how the pharmaceutical industry could lose a hundred times that because of MMS. And therein lies the rub.

After ten added years of productivity because of MMS and his renewed passion to help others, my Dad is again not doing so well. These events have taken allot out of him and he is weary. At present, his heart is operating at only 30% and there is a high likelihood, short of a miracle, we could lose him before I go home.

Whatever happens, I am proud of - and love - my Dad. He has remained my strongest supporter. I will forever be grateful that he and Mom chose to adopt me when I was just one year old. As a retired minister and couples counselor, my father leaves behind a legacy of having helped thousands, both spiritually and physically, all around the world. We all thank you, Dad. You will always be, to me, the Six Million Dollar Man.

With that, I'll close by expressing my personal and very genuine thanks for all those who have played and continue to play their roles in this drama, including ABC producers and Doug Nash; for without you, I may have lost sight of the greater duty I owe humanity: to stand for truth - even in adversity - and regardless of what those still caught in a fading paradigm may think. Thank you for holding me to my Life Contracts.

Love and Light,

Daniel Smith